

**Proceedings of the 47th Technical Committee meeting of
Karnataka Evaluation Authority**

The 47th meeting of the Technical committee was arranged online in view of the situation due to COVID 2019 to conduct the meeting. There were six evaluation study reports for approval of the technical Committee. The agenda and soft copy of the reports was circulated among all the members of the Committee on 2nd April 2020. The comments sent by the members are consolidated to draft the proceedings.


Draft Evaluation Reports:

Sl. No.	Agenda Item	Decisions Taken
1	<p>Impact of Evaluation of Interventions by the State in Respect of Select Sports in Karnataka (2011-12 to 2016-17)</p> <p>Department of Sports and Youth Empowerment</p> <p>Consultant Organization: M/s. PAN India Network, Bengaluru.</p>	<p>The report is approved subject to the submission of the revised report along with the compliance report incorporating following suggestions/comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The composite index presented in the table to be revisited and to be calculated properly. 2. Fine tune the recommendations and place them appropriately. 3. The PPP model and community participation for promotion of sports to be brought properly in the analysis and recommendations.
2	<p>“Evaluation of Forestry Works under Special Component Plan (SCP) and Tribal Sub Plan (TSP) for the period 2013-14 to 2016-17.</p> <p>Department: Forest Department</p> <p>Consultant Organization: M/s. Outreach Association of Volunteers for Rural Development</p>	<p>The report is approved subject to the submission of the revised report along with the compliance report incorporating following suggestions/comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revise the recommendations to incorporate promotion of renewable energy sources as cooking fuel. 2. Forest Department may collaborate with Horticulture Department for development of seedlings. 3. Make the recommendations specific and evidence based. 4. In the summary portion year wise analysis of performance to be presented.

		<p>5. Correct the figures regarding allocations under SCP/TSP and the beneficiaries as per the information from the Department.</p> <p>6. The analysis to be presented for the period 2013-14 to 2016-17.</p>
3	<p>Evaluation of ARIVU Educational Loan Scheme Implemented by D Devaraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation in Karnataka State (2011-12 to 2017-18)</p> <p>Department: D. Devraj Urs Backward Classes Development Corporation</p> <p>Consultant Organization: M/s. Grassroots Research and Advocacy Movement (GRAAM)</p>	<p>The report is approved subject to the submission of the revised report along with the compliance report incorporating following suggestions/comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The report while being data rich and detailed is purely descriptive. Use some simple multivariate analysis rather than descriptive tables for background analysis. 2. Analyse the reasons for low repayment. 3. The recommendations to be fine-tuned and made specific. 4. The charts and graphs to be used as per norms and requirements. 5. Integrate the analysis of FGD and other stake holders at relevant places. Any additional points may be presented separately.
4	<p>Out of Pocket Expenditure Incurred for Maternal Health Care by BPL Women in Karnataka in Public Health Facilities</p> <p>Department of Health and Family Welfare</p> <p>Consultant Organization: M/s. Grassroots Research and Advocacy Movement (GRAAM)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Executive summary to be redrafted as per the required format- A brief introduction, methodology, study findings and recommendations. 2. Report should be precise and specific. Put the additional information in Annexures. 3. Chapter 2 (p. 28-50!) Literature Review should not be presented as annotated summaries. But rather, all of these should be succinctly summarized to get the hypotheses and relevance to the study outcomes. It is to be reduced in size. 4. Indicate relative costs on delivery, tests, medicines, etc through a graph for better understanding of vital issues. 5. Recommendations are weak and not strategically ordered. Hence to be redrafted.

<p>5</p>	<p>Evaluation of Deen Dayal Upadhyaya Grameen Kaushalya Yojana (DDU-GKY) in Karnataka State</p> <p>Department: Karnataka State Rural Livelihood Mission and RDPR</p> <p>Consultant Organization: M/s. Grassroots Research and Advocacy Movement (GRAAM)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The report to be presented as per the Standard format of KEA. The additional information like evaluation questions- sub questions to be placed in Appendices. 2. The size of the report and the number of tables to be reduced with proper convergence of issues. 3. The Executive Summary to be redrafted and presented with precision. 4. Report to be presented in a logical flow. 5. Theory of change to be explained precisely. 6. The recommendations to be specific and link them to the findings.
<p>6</p>	<p>Evaluation of the utilization of funds provided to societies and NGOs for the propagation of Kannada and Culture in Karnataka.</p> <p>Department of Kannada and Culture</p> <p>Consultant Organization: M/s. Hyderabad Karnataka Centre for Advanced Learning (HKCAL)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The report to be presented in logical flow with proper convergence of issues. 2. The issues raised in FGD to be integrated with the analysis in the report. 3. List the major findings of the Study at the end and link the recommendations to it.

(Sudarshan G A, IFS)
 Member Secretary of Technical Committee of
 KEA and Chief Evaluation Officer
 Karnataka Evaluation Authority



(Dr. Shalini Rajneesh, IAS)
 Chairperson of the Technical
 Committee of KEA
 Additional Chief Secretary to Govt.,
 Planning, Programme Monitoring
 Statistics Department

